# Quantum Spacetime

Dedicated to 138<sup>th</sup> birthday of Albert Einstein, 14 March 2017

D. Chakalov, chakalov.net

### Abstract

The question whether quantum gravity could eliminate spacetime as fundamental structure is addressed by suggesting possible solution to 'quantum spacetime', ensuing from the experimental fact of observing macroscopic trajectories in Wilson cloud chamber. The latter are produced by consecutive energy exchanges between a single quantum particle and its macroscopic environment, and also have finite duration/life time, which pose fundamental challenges to the current understanding of 'quantum reality' viz. quantum spacetime. As Erwin Schrödinger stressed in 1935, "a variable has no definite value before I measure it; then measuring it does not mean ascertaining the value that it has'', which implies that the quantum reality is not physical reality (res extensa) but physicalizable reality dubbed res potentia – "just in the middle between possibility and reality" (Werner Heisenberg 1958). Thus, we model the quantum spacetime by both geometrical points, as envisaged in the real number line, and atemporal Platonic objects pertaining to res potentia.

### Metaphysics of Spacetime: Matter and Geometry

Local properties of spacetime: Matter and fields provide individuation of spacetime points by *local* physical content: "anonymous" or bare (purely geometric) spacetime points of some "vacuum spacetime" cannot exist.

Global properties of spacetime: The spacetime topology, the phenomenon of causality, and the temporal and spatial orientability of spacetime cannot be defined locally – they are *global* properties of spacetime, produced by an atemporal Platonic state of the entire Universe as ONE, dubbed res potentia (Slides 13 and 14).

The local and global properties of spacetime constitute the necessary and sufficient conditions for spacetime. It's a bundle.

General Relativity: The spacetime manifold is infinitely differentiable

Quantum Theory: The spacetime is fundamentally discrete



Quantum Spacetime: The spacetime manifold is both perfectly smooth and perfectly discrete, resembling the human memory – there is no physical distance between the *idea* if a tree and the *idea* of a mountain. Ditto to photon's matrix (Slide 9) and proton's matrix (Slides 10 and 15). Is Planck's matrix (Slide 16) 'the true monad without windows' (Slides 13 and 14)?

# Classical Reality: Bullet Trajectory



A bullet shot in water will produce a trace of bubbles matching its trajectory, until it stops. The consecutive energy-momentum exchanges between the bullet and its environment, as well as the path and duration of bullet's trajectory, are subject to classical physics.

# No Quantum Reality In QM Textbooks



Die Bahn entsteht erst dadurch, daß wir sie beobachten. Werner Heisenberg 1927 As Erwin Schrödinger stressed in 1935, "a variable has no definite value before I measure it; then measuring it does *not* mean ascertaining the value that it *has*. But then what does it mean?"

It means that, unlike bullet's trajectory comprised from facts located in the past light cone, the quantum reality cannot be located *anywhere* in the light cone. It is not a fact but res potentia.

# Quantum Reality: Charles Wilson, 1911



Can we explain the **red** and **blue** arrows in Wilson cloud chamber?

Can we explain *consecutive* energy-momentum exchanges between the quantum particle & wave and its macroscopic environment? Are quantum waves with complex phases (Chen N. Yang 1987) physical reality or *physicalizable* reality (Slide 15) "just in the middle between possibility and reality" (Heisenberg 1958)? What is the origin of time in Schrödinger equation? Can clocks read it?

Yes and No: The matrix (Chakalov 2016).

# **Atemporal** Quantum Reality

Every macroscopic event from bullet's trajectory has causal support from its unique past states, which are facts located exclusively in the past light cone. The sequence of such facts presents bullet's identity.

The invisible **red** trajectory (if any) of a single **quantum** "bullet" in Wilson cloud chamber (Slide 7) does *not* have causal support from its "collapsed" states amplified to visible water droplets, but from its **atemporal** quantum reality – the quantum **matrix** as *res potentia*. The latter is not a fact, as it does not live in *any* section of the light cone. It keeps the **atemporal** *Genidentität* of quantum phenomena and exists with *certainty*. To paraphrase Albert Einstein, God casts the die (the **atemporal matrix**), not the dice (proton's mass, Slides 10 and 15).

# Atemporal Quantum Reality: Emission of Light



Physically, the photon was **non-existent** before it was emitted: see Schrödinger 1935 (Slide 6) and Milonni 1993 Ch 2.6. Suppose a light bulb emits photons with rate app. 1.8 x 10<sup>20</sup> photons per second. All photons are *identical*, and have particular wavelength related to the "distance" (if any) between the two "orbits" (if any) of electrons, denoted in the drawing with **h**.

How come nothing goes wrong in producing 1.8 x 10<sup>20</sup> identical photons per second, *ever*? According to John Wheeler (1973), the identity of particles of the same type is "a central mystery of physics."

# Atemporal Quantum Reality: Proton's Mass



Only about 1% of proton's mass can be traced to **quarks** (two up quarks and one down quark), whereas 99% of its mass belongs to Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) binding energy. Imagine zillions of quarks (u,d,s), antiquarks (u,d,s with a bar on top), and gluons (g) zipping around near the speed of light, banging into each other, and appearing and disappearing from QCD vacuum (Strassler 2010): they are able to assemble proton's mass of 938 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> with error margin of just *one* part in 10<sup>45</sup> (Dolgov 2012), for at least 10<sup>29</sup> years.

What phenomenon could create 10<sup>82</sup> identical protons?

# Atemporal Quantum Reality: The Human Brain



Do you see branches of trees?

Aoccdrnig to a rscheearch at Cmabrigde Uinervtisy, it deosn't mttaer in what oredr the Itteers in a wrod are, the olny iprmoetnt tihng is taht the frist and Isat Itteer be at the rghit pclae. The rset can be a total mses and you can sitll raed it wouthit a porbelm. Tihs is bcuseae the huamn biarn deos not raed ervey Iteter by istlef, but the wrod as a wlohe.

If the human brain can employ the matrix, the Universe can do it as well: God is the power of the Universe as a whole to organize itself.



# Atemporal Quantum Reality: Quantum Cosmology

Without quantum cosmology based on the quantumgravitational matrix of spacetime, we would need some Biblical "miracle" to raise a robust Lorentzian metric within 10<sup>-30</sup> seconds "after" the "big bang", starting much earlier at 10<sup>-35</sup> seconds "after" the "big bang", when the classical (not quantum) spacetime would be about 1 cm across and a causally connected region would have been only 10<sup>-24</sup> cm across (the horizon problem), in such way that one could later "inflate" the spacetime by a factor of 10<sup>78</sup> and then *safely* keep the Lorentzian metric for at least 13.798 billion years rooted on the Planck scale at which the spacetime "points" are totally fuzzy and the principle of locality has lost any meaning.

# Was Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz Right?



Are there "windows" to the quantum vacuum (Milonni 1993), the origin of res extensa?

Or "windows" to the Noetic world, the origin of *res cogitans*?

Is the Platonic world of res potentia 'the true monad without windows'? (Leibniz *Monadology* § 7) To explain **res potentia** and the doctrine of *trialism*, imagine the following situation: you are an Eskimo, and you have never seen and will never see an elephant in your life. Yet you can make observations on elephant's *trunk* by two complementary devices measuring either properties of *your* arm or properties of *your* nose.



You can never imagine the common source of *your* arm (res cogitans) and of *your* nose (res extensa), which you blindly called *trunk* (res potentia), because the latter does not have arm-like "windows" nor nose-like "windows": it (not He) is 'the true monad *without* windows'. (Leibniz *Monadology* § 7)

You may suggest, after Leibniz, that what you see as an arm (res cogitans) is always pre-correlated with what you see as a nose (res extensa) by pre-established harmony. But again, you're an Eskimo and cannot even imagine the 'trunk' (res potentia).

# Atemporal Quantum Matrix: Proton's Mass



We predict *physicalizable* "shadow" of brand new spin-2 boson at 14 TeV Proton's quantum matrix does not have any "windows" (Leibniz), and the chained Eskimos (Slide 14) can only observe its *physicalizable* "shadow" of 938 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> (Slide 10) cast on the wall at Plato's cave.

It is predicted (Chakalov January 9, 2003) that the number of **quarks** will jump to 8 and more, in Fibonacci sequence. The Baldy's Law 'some of it plus the rest of it is all of it' is **not** valid in the quantum world.

# Was Max Planck Right?

There is no matter as such! All matter originates and exists only by virtue of a force which brings the particles of an atom to vibration and holds this most minute solar system of the atom together. We must assume behind this force the existence of a conscious and intelligent Geist (bewußten intelligenten Geist). This Geist is the matrix of all matter.

Max Planck Das Wesen der Materie, Florence, 1944

# Was Max Planck Right?

Truth never triumphs – its opponents just die out.

An important scientific innovation rarely makes its way by gradually winning over and converting its opponents: it rarely happens that Saul becomes Paul. What does happen is that its opponents gradually die out and that the growing generation is familiarized with the idea from the beginning: another instance of the fact that the future lies with youth.

Max Planck *Philosophy of Physics*, 1936 Chakalov D., Prediction about the Higgs boson(s), 9 January 2003. Chakalov D., Hyperimaginary Numbers. Online paper, December 2016. Dolgov A., arXiv:1206.3725v1 [astro-ph.CO], 2012. Heisenberg W., Zeitschrift für Physik, 43, 172-198 (1927). Heisenberg W., *Physics and Philosophy*, 1958. Leibniz G., Monadology §7, 1714. Wikipedia, 2017. Milonni P., The Quantum Vacuum, 1993, Ch 2.6. Planck M., *The Philosophy of Physics*, 1936. Planck M., Das Wesen der Materie. Speach at Florence, Italy, 1944. Schrödinger E., *Naturwissenschaften*, 23, 807-812; 823-828; 844-849 (1935). Strassler M., What's a Proton, Anyway? Online paper, 2010. Wheeler J. et al., Gravitation, 1973, p. 1215. Wilson C., Cloud chamber, 1911. Wikipedia, 2017.

Yang C.N., in Schrödinger: Centenary Celebration of a Polymath, 1987, Ch. 5.

### Frequently Asked Questions

### Q1. What is res potentia?

A1: Platonic world with two projective "walls" and no "windows" (Slide 13); see also Heraclitus.

### Q2: Why we cannot detect it?

A2: Because of the "speed" of light: res potentia is *perfectly* hidden inside (Sic!) the instant 'here and now'. For comparison, imagine that you stay in front of a wall, and at  $t_1$  you toss a ball at it, in such way that the ball will bounce back and hit you later at  $t_2$ : you will be watching the consecutive states of the ball during the entire interval  $t_2 - t_1 > 0$ . But you cannot replace the ball with a photon, because the null interval between its emission at  $t_1$  and absorption at  $t_2$  will be *exactly* zero:  $t_2 \equiv t_1$  denotes *one single* 4D event 'here and now' projected as res extensa in the irreversible past, whereas the Platonic res potentia is *always* residing in the potential future of *the same* 4D event 'here and now' at  $t_2 \equiv t_1$  (cf. *Hyperimaginary Numbers*, December 2016, p. 5 and ref. [18]). Notice that we introduce fundamental flow of events, after Heraclitus.

#### Q3: How does res potentia work?

A3: Check out the doctrine of *trialism* in Slide 14: there is no physical link between *your* unphysical arm (res cogitans) and *your* 4D nose (res extensa), as explained by Leibniz in 1714 (*Monadology* §7).

### Q4: What can we make from it?

A4: Physical theology: God (John 1:1; Luke 17:21; 1 John 4:8) is residing inside every 4D event 'here and now' at  $\mathbf{t}_2 \equiv \mathbf{t}_1$  (cf. A2). It (not "He") is mathematical object, hence there is no need for any religion. Also, once we unravel the so-called hyperimaginary numbers, we could try to develop quantum gravity and cosmology, as well as spacetime engineering (cf. *Hyperimaginary Numbers*, December 2016, Sec. 4).



I want to know God's thoughts; the rest are details.

Albert Einstein

Happy 138<sup>th</sup> birthday, Albert! You were a good man and outstanding physicist.

May God enwrap you in His thoughts and give you endless joy and happiness.

D. Chakalov March 14, 2017